

§ 656.605

agency is violating JS regulations and/or its PBP. In such cases the ETA shall afford the State agency an opportunity to rebut the presumption of a violation pursuant to the procedures at subpart H of this part.

(3) The ETA shall take into account that certain program performance data may measure items over which State agencies have direct or substantial control while other data may measure items over which the State agency has indirect or minimal control.

(i) Generally, for example, a State agency has direct and substantial control over the delivery of job services such as referrals to jobs, job development contacts, applicant counseling, referrals to supportive services and the conduct of field checks.

(ii) State agencies, however, have only indirect control over the outcome of services. State agencies, for example, cannot guarantee that an employer will hire a referred applicant, nor can they guarantee that the terms and conditions of employment will be as stated on a job order.

(iii) Outside forces, moreover, such as a sudden heavy increase in unemployment rates, a strike by State agency employees, or a severe drought or flood may skew the results measured by program performance data;

(4) The ETA shall consider a State agency's failure to keep accurate and complete program performance data required by JS regulations as a violation of the JS regulations.

§ 656.605 Communication of findings to State agencies.

(a) The Regional Administrator shall inform State agencies in writing of the results of review and assessment activities and, as appropriate, shall discuss with the State Administrator the impact or action required by ETA as a result of review and assessment activities.

(b) The ETA national office shall transmit the results of any review and assessment activities conducted by it to the Regional Administrator who shall send the information to the State agency.

(c) Whenever the review and assessment indicates a State agency violation of JS regulations or its State

20 CFR Ch. V (4-1-02 Edition)

agency program budget plan, the Regional Administrator shall follow the procedures set forth at subpart H of this part.

(d) Regional Administrators shall follow-up any corrective action plan imposed on a State agency under subpart H of this part by further review and assessment of the State agency pursuant to this subpart.

Subpart H—Federal Application of Remedial Action to State Agencies

AUTHORITY: Wagner-Peyser Act of 1933, as amended, 29 U.S.C. 49 *et seq.*; 5 U.S.C. 301 *et seq.*

§ 658.700 Scope and purpose of subpart.

This subpart sets forth the procedures which ETA shall follow upon either discovering independently or receiving from other(s) information indicating that State agencies may not be adhering to JS regulations.

§ 658.701 Statements of policy.

(a) It is the policy of the Employment and Training Administration (ETA) to take all necessary action, including the imposition of the full range of sanctions set forth in this subpart, to ensure that State agencies comply with all requirements established by JS regulations.

(b) It is the policy of ETA to initiate decertification procedures against State agencies in instances of serious or continual violations of JS regulations if less stringent remedial actions taken in accordance with this subpart fail to resolve noncompliance.

(c) It is the policy of the ETA to act on information concerning alleged violations by State agencies of the JS regulations received from any person or organization.

§ 658.702 Initial action by the Regional Administrator.

(a) The ETA Regional Administrator shall be responsible for ensuring that all State agencies in his/her region are in compliance with JS regulations.